

PRV

PATENT- OCH REGISTRERINGSVERKET
Patentavdelningen

PO E 0 3 / 0 1 5 2 9

10 / 5204

**Intyg
Certificate**

23 MAR 2005

Härmed intygas att bifogade kopior överensstämmer med de handlingar som ursprungligen ingivits till Patent- och registreringsverket i nedannämnda ansökan.

This is to certify that the annexed is a true copy of the documents as originally filed with the Patent- and Registration Office in connection with the following patent application.



(71) Sökande Quantovir AB, Uppsala SE
Applicant (s)

REC'D 16 OCT 2003

WISA PAT

(21) Patentansökningsnummer 0202897-5
Patent application number

(86) Ingivningsdatum 2002-10-01
Date of filing

Stockholm, 2003-10-07

För Patent- och registreringsverket
For the Patent- and Registration Office

Lisa Junegren

Avgift
Fee

PRIORITY DOCUMENT
SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN
COMPLIANCE WITH
RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**PATENT- OCH
REGISTRERINGSVERKET
SWEDEN**

Postadress/Adress
Box 5055
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Telefon/Phone
+46 8 782 25 00
Vx 08-782 25 00

Telex
17978
PATOREG S

Telefax
+46 8 666 02 86
08-666 02 86

Method and kit for quantitative and qualitative determination of human papillomavirus**Field of the invention**

The present invention relates to a method and kit for quantitative and qualitative determination of human papillomavirus, HPV, in a sample. More precisely, for quantitative and qualitative determination of oncogenic HPV to predict the risk of HPV infection resulting in cervical carcinoma.

Background of the invention

Cervical carcinoma is considered to be the third most common cancer in women in the world. In 1994 an estimated 55.000 women in the US were diagnosed with carcinoma *in situ* of the cervix, with an additional 15.000 cases of invasive cancer. Although organized or voluntary screening is available in a number of countries and a range of interventions exist, about 4.600 of women diagnosed with the disease do not survive. In Sweden organized screening has been in operation for the last 20 years, but still about 500 cases of invasive cancer are diagnosed annually. Although in the US and Europe major progress has been made in the control of cervical cancer, it remains a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in the developing world.

Infection by certain types of human papillomavirus (HPV) is the single most important risk factor for the development of cervical cancer. More than 95% of cervical cancer biopsies have been found to contain DNA of high-risk HPV types, most commonly HPV 16, followed by HPV 18, 45, 31 and 33. Given the importance of HPV infection in the etiology of cervical cancer, a large number of methods have been developed for detecting of the virus or for identifying the cellular changes resulting from viral transformation. Serological detection methods have been used to detect present or recent infection with HPV, but have a limitation in that not every infected individual develop antibodies. A number of DNA technologies have been employed for detection of viral nucleic acid, such as *in situ* hybridization, restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and southern-blot analysis, hybrid capture (where a DNA-RNA heteroduplex is recognized by monoclonal antibodies) and various PCR based assays. Many of the PCR systems developed for HPV detection involve an amplification step followed by a separate step for identification of individual HPV types. To increase the technical sensitivity of the assay when analyzing samples with limited DNA, such as

formalin-fixed biopsies or archival Papanicolaou (Pap) cervical smears, a nested-PCR has frequently been employed.

Previously an assay based on real-time PCR for the detection and quantification of high risk HPV DNA has been described (Josefsson et al., 1999). The 5' exonuclease assay, employed in real-time PCR, is based on the ability of the 5' to 3' exonuclease activity of Taq polymerase to cleave a dual-labeled, non-extendible, hybridization probe during the extension phase of the PCR.

Using this previously described method it was demonstrated, in a case-control study, that the titer of HPV 16 in cervical smears can be used to predict the risk of development of cervical cancer *in situ* (cervical interstitial neoplasia, stage III; CIN III) (Josefsson et al., 2000; Ylitalo et al., 2000). These results indicate that HPV titer may represent a powerful means of determining whether an infection will progress into cervical cancer or be cleared. This invention is described in U.S. Patent 6420106 and relates to a method to predict the risk of progression to virus associated cancer in a human subject.

Summary of the invention

The present invention relates to a method and kit for quantitative as well as qualitative determination of human papillomavirus. The method of the invention gives a quantitative and qualitative measure as a basis of the predicted outcome of an HPV infection. The method and kit of the invention have a wide coverage of oncogenic HPV types without being complex and time consuming. The invention relates to an assay and kit for simultaneous measurement of several HPV types, employing the quantitative ability and dynamic range provided by real-time PCR. The invention has the advantage of detecting and quantifying the HPV types most commonly detected in cervical tumors, while minimizing the number of parallel reactions performed for each sample, making the system suitable for use in routine screening of cervical swab samples. According to one embodiment of the invention, an optimized test system enables sample determination in two tests and a separate test for normalization.

Thus, in a first aspect the invention relates to a method for quantitative and qualitative determination of human papillomavirus (HPV) in a sample comprising the steps of:

- i) providing a sample from a patient suspected to be infected by HPV, and optionally extracting the nucleic acid of the sample,
- ii) dividing the sample or nucleic acid from the sample in two or more sub-samples or equal aliquots,
- iii) measuring, simultaneously, the presence and amount of two or more viruses in one of said sub-samples by using a specific primer for amplification of each virus or group of viruses, whereby the primers are designed not to compete during the amplification-reaction, and a specific probe for each virus or group of viruses, whereby the probes are designed not to compete during the amplification-reaction and the detection phase,
- iv) determining the amount of said sample by analysis of a nuclear gene in a given amount of another of said sub-samples in a separate amplification reaction
- v) calculating the amount of each virus or group of viruses per amount of sample from the results of steps iii) and iv).

Preferably the amplifications in steps iii) and iv) are PCR amplifications and more preferably the method is a PCR-based fluorescent 5' exonuclease assay.

The viruses in step iii) are chosen from HPV 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 52, 58 and -67.

HPV 16, 31, 18, 45 is detected and quantified in one sub-sample and optionally HPV 33, 35, 39, 52, 58 and 67 is detected and quantified in another sub-sample.

Preferably, the amount of a human single copy gene is detected and quantified in step iv). This gene may be HUMPBGDA, Homo sapiens hydroxymethylbilane synthase gene, accnr M95623.1.

The method of the invention is preferably used for detection and diagnose of cervical cancer.

In a second aspect, the invention relates to a kit for detection and quantification of human papillomavirus, comprising

- a) seven amplification primers and three probes for HPV 16, 31, 18, 45 according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification; and optionally
- b) seven amplification primers and three probes for HPV 33, 35, 39, 52, 58 and -67, according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification.

Preferably, the kit further comprises two amplification primers and one probe, according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification, for detection and quantification of the amount of a human

single copy gene, such as HUMPBGDA, Homo sapiens hydroxymethylbilane synthase gene, accnr M95623.1.

In a preferred embodiment the kit further comprises at least two different fluorophores,

In one embodiment the kit comprises

- a) seven amplification primers and three probes for HPV 16, 31, 18, 45 according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification;
- b) seven amplification primers and three probes for HPV 33, 35, 39, 52, 58 and -67, according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification;
- c) two amplification primers and one probe, according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification, for detection and quantification of the amount of a human single copy gene; and
- d) three different fluorophores.

The kit of the invention is preferably used for detection and diagnose of cervical cancer. For this purpose, the kit may also optionally comprise a cervical swab.

Detailed description of the invention

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA extraction

Plasmids containing HPV 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 52, 58 and 67 were used as positive controls and to estimate the sensitivity of the assay. The plasmids with integrated HPV were transformed into One Shot cells (INV a F', Invitrogen TA Cloning kit, Groningen, NL) and positive transformants isolated, grown in 100 ml LB in 37 °C overnight and plasmid DNA extracted using the Qiagen Maxiprep kit (Qiagen, WWR). The copy numbers for individual plasmid preparations were estimated using spectrophotometrical determination of the OD.

DNA from blood samples, used for development of the human nuclear gene assay, was extracted using a standard protocol based on proteinase K treatment, followed by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. DNA from formalin-fixed biopsies was extracted using published protocols. DNA purity and concentration was determined by optical density measurements (GeneQuant. Pharna Biotech, Cambridge, England).

For studies of the DNA from archival smears a modification of a previously described protocol was used. Briefly, this protocol includes incubation in xylene to remove the cover slip, destaining, proteinase K treatment (60 °C minimum 1 hour) and subsequently a transfer of cells to sterile Eppendorf tubes. Saturated ammonium acetate is then added to precipitate the protein. The DNA supernatant is recovered with ethanol, the pellet washed with 70 % ethanol, dried and dissolved in 200 µl TE-low (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.1 mM EDTA).

Sample preparation from cervical swabs

For the study of extraction protocols we used cervical swab samples and compared five different extraction protocols (A-E).

Protocol A is based on freezing and boiling of the samples. Briefly, the cervical swab (or brush) is immersed in 1 ml PBS and swirled to release the cells. 250 µl of this suspension is used in the protocol below. The solution is spun at 3.000 g for 10 min in a tabletop centrifuge. The supernatant is collected and 250 µl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 is added. The sample is then vortexed carefully to distribute the cells evenly. 100 µl of the solution is transferred to a new Eppendorf tube and both the 100 µl aliquot and the remaining volume (used as backup) are frozen at -20 °C. The 100 µl aliquot is then thawed and boiled in a heating block at 100 °C for 10 min. The tube is briefly centrifuged to pull down condensed water and 2 µl of the suspension is used for the Taqman reaction.

In protocol B, a commercial kit for DNA extraction based on precipitation of proteins (Wizard, Promega, Madison, WI, USA) is used. Briefly, the cervical swab (or brush) is immersed in 1 ml PBS and swirled to release the cells. 250 µl of this suspension is used in the protocol below. The solution is spun at max speed in an Eppendorf centrifuge for 5 min. The supernatant is discarded and 300 µl Nuclei Lysis Solution (Wizard kit) is added. The solution is mixed by pipetting and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. The sample is cooled to RT and 100 µl protein precipitation solution (Wizard kit) is added. The solution is then vortexed for 10-20 sec and centrifuged at 13-16.000 g for 3 min. The supernatant is transferred to a new Eppendorf tube with 300 µl isopropanol (at RT), the solutions mixed and centrifuged at 13-

16.000 g for 1 min. The supernatant is removed and the pellet washed with 70% ethanol and centrifuged again at 13-16.000 g for 1 min. Finally, the ethanol is removed and the pellet air dried. The pellet is dissolved in 100 μ l of Rehydration solution (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4), incubated at 65°C for 1h and 2 μ l used for each Taqman reaction.

Protocol *C* is based on proteinase K digestion of the samples. Briefly, the cervical swab (or brush) is immersed in 1 ml PBS and swirled to release the cells. 250 μ l of this suspension is used in the protocol below. The solution is spun at max speed in an Eppendorf centrifuge for 5 min. The supernatant is removed and a Proteinase K solution (148 μ l digestion buffer (Tris-base 50 mM, 0.5 % Tween 20, 1 mM EDTA) and 1.95 μ l proteinase K (20 mg/ml) is added. The sample is incubated at 56°C for 2 hr and the proteinase K inactivated at 95°C for 5 min. The sample is finally centrifuged for 5 min and 2 μ l of the top phase used for each Taqman reaction.

Protocol *D* includes organic extraction (phenol/chloroform) of the samples. First, protocol *B* above is used including the addition of the Nuclei Lysis Solution (Wizard kit) and incubation at 37°C for 1 h. Then 300 μ l of equilibrated phenol is added to the sample. The solution is mixed, spun and the water phase extracted once more with phenol, then with chloroform and the DNA is collected by ethanol precipitation, the pellet is washed and dried and dissolved in 100 μ l TE low. 2 μ l of the dissolved DNA preparation is used for each Taqman reaction.

Finally, in protocol *E*, a commercial kit for DNA extraction based on binding of nucleic acid to glass beads (Nuclisens, Nasba diagnostics, Organon-Teknica, Boxtel, NL), is used. Lysis buffer and wash buffer is heated to 37°C for 30 min (vortex every 10 min.). The wash buffer and lysis buffer is subsequently cooled to RT. The sample (10-200 μ l of cervical swab solution) is then added to 900 μ l Lysis buffer, the mixture is vortexed and the tube spun at 10.000 g for 30 sec. The silica solution is vortexed until it becomes opaque, 50 μ l is added to each sample and the mixture vortexed. The tube is incubated at room temperature for 10 min. and vortexed every second minute. The silica beads are spun down at 10.000g, 30 sec, the supernatant is removed and 1 ml wash buffer added. The pellet is vortexed until dissolved and washed first with 1 ml 70% ethanol (twice), and then with 1 ml acetone (once). Residual acetone is carefully removed (with a 100 μ l pipette) and the pellet is dried at 56°C for 10 min.

Int. Patent

P. 1/2 -

Priority

7

When the silica pellet is dry, 50 μ l elution buffer is added and the tube vortexed until the pellet is dissolved. The tube is incubated at 56°C for 10 min, with intermittent vortexing to avoid sedimentation of the silica. The samples are centrifuged for 2 min at 10.000 g and the supernatant (30-35 μ l) transferred to a new tube. 2-5 μ l for supernatant is used for each Taqman reaction.

Primers

Oligonucleotide primers 15-24 bp were designed using the program Oligo vs 6.6 (Dynal AS, Oslo) and Primer express (ABI, Foster City, CA, USA).

Probes

The probes were 22-30 bp in length to ensure a higher T_m than for the primers. The probes were synthesized by Applied Biosystems, Cheshire, UK and Cybergene (Huddinge, Sweden), made such to avoid a guanosine at the most 5' end and HPLC purified prior to use.

Real time PCR

The PCR amplification was performed in a 25 μ l volume containing 1x Buffer A (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), 3.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 200 nM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP and 400 nM dUTP (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden), 0.625U AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), 3.1 μ g BSA (Sigma) and 200 nM of each primer and probe, and DNA (according to the extraction protocol).

Amplification and detection was performed using a 7700 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Inc.). The amplification ramp included an initial hold program of 10 min. at 95 °C to release the activity of the Taq DNA polymerase. The hold step was followed by a two-step cycle consisting of 15 sec. at 95°C and 1 min. at 57°C. In the development of the assay we used 50 PCR cycles, while in the analysis of clinical samples only 40 cycles were used due to the high efficiency of the PCR. Tubes, including all PCR components, but without template DNA (denoted NTC reactions), were used to ensure that the reagents mix were free of contamination.

Calculations

The Sequence Detection System software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) was used to produce a file with raw data. A dedicated software was used for the calculation of threshold cycle number and conversion into HPV copy numbers per cell.

Statistics

Statistics and graphs were produced using Microsoft excel, Statview and SAS.

RESULTS

The results of the invention will be described below in association with the accompanying figures.

Fig. 1. Standard curves for a) the HPV 16 and b) the HPV 31 assays. The threshold cycle (Ct) number is plotted against the log copy number of HPV. The points represent the mean of 12 independent measurements.

Fig. 2. Standard curves for a) the HPV 18 (solid line) and HPV 45 (dashed line) and b) the HPV 33 group (33, 52, 58, 67) assays. The threshold cycle (Ct) number is plotted against the log copy number of HPV. The points represent the mean of 12 independent measurements.

Fig. 3. Standard curves for a) the HPV 35 and b) the HPV 39 assays. The threshold cycle (Ct) number is plotted against the log copy number of HPV. The points represent the mean of 12 independent measurements.

Fig. 4. Standard curves for the human gene (HUMPBGA) assay. The threshold cycle (Ct) number is plotted against the log copy number of HPV. The points represent the mean of 12 independent measurements.

Fig. 5. Analysis of individual HPV types in synthetic mixtures made to mimic mixed infections: a) detection of HPV 18 in a background of HPV 16, b) detection of HPV 45 in a background of HPV 16, c) detection of HPV 31 in a background of HPV 16.

Fig. 6. Comparison of the threshold cycle (Ct) values in two independent runs of the same set of cervical smear samples.

Fig. 7. Stability of the assay reagents at three different storage temperatures: a) -20°C (4 time-points), b) -4°C , (7 time-points) and c) $+30^{\circ}\text{C}$ (6 time-points). The bars refer to the Ct values of samples at different time points for individual samples.

Fig. 8. Comparison of the assay results of using different extraction protocols: a) HPV assay, Protocol A versus Protocol D, b) HPV assay, B vs D, c) IIPV assay, C vs D, d) Nuclear gene assay, A vs B, e) Nuclear gene assay, B vs D, f) Nuclear gene assay, C vs D.

Fig. 9. Frequency distribution of IIPV types in a case/control material of cervical smear samples.

Rational and design of the method and kit of the invention

The method and kit of the present invention were designed to permit viral load estimates for the range of HPV types most frequently found in different grades of cervical interstitial neoplasia (CIN I-III) and cervical tumors. While the set of HPV types varies between studies, we focused on HPV 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 52, 58 and -67. These HPV types are found in about 80-90% of cases with cervical cancer *in situ* and invasive cervical cancer and, thus, the test has the potential to detect 80-90% of women with an oncogenic HPV infection.

The IIPV types detected by the assay differ substantially at the nucleotide level, necessitating the use of a series of PCR primers and complicating the development of a typing system capable of detecting a range of HPV types. The real-time PCR method chosen has a very wide dynamic range and excellent characteristics for quantification, but works optimally with the commercially available software only when a single target is assayed and quantified in each reaction. Since our assay was intended for large scale screening purposes and clinical typing,

performing a single PCR assay for each HPV type would be sub-optimal. Given these limitations the assay designed is performed in three reaction tubes. The assay is based on three parallel real-time PCRs from each patient sample: a) Reaction 1 detects and quantifies HPV types 16, 31, 18 and 45 (HPV 18 and 45 detected and quantified together) using three different fluorophores, b) Reaction 2 detects and quantifies HPV types 33, 35, 39, 52, 58 and 67 (HPV 33, 52, 58 and 67 detected and quantified together), again using three different fluorophores, and c) Reaction 3 detects and quantifies the amount of a human single copy gene (HUMPSGDA, Homo sapiens hydroxymethylbilane synthase gene, accnr M95623.1). Reaction 1 includes a total of seven PCR primers and three probes, Reaction 2 a total of seven PCR primers and three probes and Reaction 3, two PCR primers and a single probe (Tables 1 and 2).

Primers and probes were designed to optimize the ability for balanced co-amplification of different HPV types in mixed samples. In order to find the most suitable priming sites for co-amplification, and avoid hindrances to an efficient PCR such as regions with strong secondary structure, the amplicons were located in different HPV reading frames. Consequently, in Reaction 1 the amplicon for HPV 16 is located in E7, that for HPV18/45 in E1 and the amplicon for HPV 31 in E6. The amplicons detected in Reaction 2 are located in L1 (HPV 33, 52, 58, 67), E7 (HPV 39) and E4 (HPV 35). The PCR primers for the human gene span an intron-exon junction (nts:4750-4868).

Technical sensitivity and specificity

The sensitivity and specificity of the HPV assay was determined using plasmids containing the entire genome of the different HPV types studied, together with 33×10^{-6} g human genomic DNA to mimic the complex nucleic acid environment present in an amplification from genomic DNA (such as cervical smear samples). Plasmid copy numbers were calculated from OD measurements and dilution series were made with 10^2 - 10^7 HPV copies and high molecular weight human genomic DNA (lacking integrated HPV) was added. Standard curves ranging from 10^2 - 10^7 copies per sample were constructed for each of the HPV types, or groups of HPV types, based on 12 independent measurements for each HPV copy number (Fig. 1 - 3). A highly significant linear regression between HPV copy number and threshold

cycle (C_t), representing the PCR cycle number at which the signal exceeds a given baseline, is seen for all the IIPV types tested. Since in the typing assay HPV 18 and 45 use the same probe and therefore are detected together, it is vital that the standard curves for these two IIPV types are very similar. Indeed, the curves for IIPV 18 and 45 do not only have the same slope but also the same intercept. Similarly, the HPV types 33, 52, 58 and 67 are detected together, using a single probe. Their standard curves have the same slope but differ somewhat with respect to intercept. This may result in a lower precision when viral types within this group are quantified together. Despite the variation seen in intercept seen between HPVs 33, and 58 relative to 52 and 67, the assay is able to quantify the amount with sufficient accuracy. Finally, a significant linear regression was seen between copy number of the human single copy gene and threshold cycle (Fig. 4). The variation seen in the HPV and human DNA quantification systems, expressed as the C_t , is shown in Tables 3 and 4. The mean standard deviation (SD) of the C_t values for the HPV assay was 0.89, with the higher values seen for the lower copy numbers (Table 3). The mean standard deviation (SD) of the C_t values for the human gene system was found to be 0.85 (Table 4).

The specificity of the HPV system were tested by determining the ability of the primer and probe combinations in Reaction 1 and Reaction 2 to discriminate against plasmids with different HPV types. The specificity of the reagents in Reaction 1 were tested by analyzing the signal with the HPV types detected in Reaction 2 (i.e. with HPV 33, 35, 39, 52, 58 and 67). No signal was observed with any of these IIPV types at 10^4 initial viral copies (data not shown). Similarly, the specificity of the reagents in Reaction 2 were tested by analyzing the signal with the HPV types detected in Reaction 1 (i.e. for HPV 16, 18, 31, 45). No signal was observed with HPV 16, 18, 31, or 45 at a concentration of 10^4 initial viral copies (data not shown). The system has not tested for specificity with respect to other HPV types. However, the Reaction 1 and Reaction 2 reagents were designed to be specific for the individual HPV types (using sequence alignments from a large number of HPV types) and Reaction 3 for the nuclear gene (using gene bank and BLAST searches), respectively. Also, an efficient real-time PCR assay is dependent upon the homology of the oligonucleotide probe to the target. As indicated before, about 80-90% of the women diagnosed with cervical tumors are infected with single or multiple IIPV of the types detected in this assay. The 10-20% of the remaining tumor biopsies not infected with any of the types in the assay are likely to contain any of a

large number of other HPV types, each occurring in very low frequency. Since this assay is not designed to detect these additional HPV types, the rate of false negatives in women diagnosed with severe cervical interstitial neoplasia or invasive cancer is estimated to 10-20%.

Analysis of mixed infections

In a previous study of cervical cancer biopsies from Swedish patients about 5 % of the samples were infected with several HPV types (Ylitalo et al., 1995). Thus, an important aspect of the present invention is the ability to detect mixed infections between HPV 16 and any of the other more frequent types found to be associated with cervical cancer, such as HPV 18, 31 and 45. We tested the ability of our fluorescent 5' exonuclease assay to correctly detect and quantify HPV titer in samples with multiple infections, by producing synthetic mixtures of known HPV copy numbers using HPV plasmids, in a background of 1 ng of high molecular weight genomic DNA per reaction. First, we tested detection of HPV 18 in a background of HPV 16. For the range 10^2 to 10^5 copies of HPV 16, we tested the ability to correctly quantify the amount of HPV 18 over the same range of copy numbers. For example, for 10^5 copies of HPV 16 we tested the quantification of 10^2 to 10^5 copies of HPV 18. The results are shown graphically by relating the log of HPV 18 copy number to the C_t . A separate line is given for each copy number of the background HPV 16. The effect of a background HPV type on the correct quantification is seen as a deviation from the linear relationship expected between log HPV copy number and threshold cycle. In the case of quantification of HPV 18 in a background of HPV 16, the assay is able to correctly estimate the HPV 18 copy number in the range 10^4 - 10^5 copies in a background (mixed infection) of 10^2 to 10^5 copies of HPV 16 (Fig. 5a). At 10^3 copies of HPV 18 it can still estimate the copy number although there is a slight deviation from the linear relationships at background levels of HPV 16 between 10^4 to 10^5 copies. At 10^2 copies of HPV 18 it can correctly estimate the copy number across the whole range, except in a background of 10^5 copies of HPV 16. These results show that the assay is able to quantify the amount of HPV 18 in a background of HPV 16 as long as the HPV 18 occurs in at least 1-10% of the copy number of HPV 16. Similarly, reverse experiments (detection of HPV 16 in a background of HPV 18) demonstrate that an infection

of HPV 16 can be detected in a background of HPV 18, as long as the HPV 16 occurs in at least 1-10% of the copy number of HPV 18 (data not shown).

A mixed infection that is likely to occur is HPV 16 together with either HPV 31 or HPV 45. HPV 45 can be correctly quantified at copy numbers between 10^3 - 10^5 , in a background of 10^2 to 10^5 HPV 16 copies (Fig 5b). The only exception is at 10^3 copies of HPV 45 and 10^5 copies of HPV 16, when the ability to correctly quantify HPV 45 is reduced. Similarly, reverse experiments (detection of HPV 16 in a background of HPV 45), demonstrates that an infection of HPV 16 can be detected in a background of HPV 45 as long as the HPV 16 occurs in at least 1-10% of the copy number of HPV 45 (data not shown). HPV 31 can be quantified in the range 10^2 to 10^5 copies, with a background of HPV 16 in the range 10^2 to 10^4 copies (Fig. 5c). Only in the ratio of 10^2 copies of HPV 31 to 10^5 copies of HPV 16 is there no signal from HPV 31. The reverse experiment, i.e. when quantifying HPV 16 in the background of HPV 31, shows that when the HPV 31 copy number is in the range 10^2 to 10^3 copies is it possible to quantify HPV 16 copy numbers over the range 10^2 - 10^5 (data not shown). At higher HPV 31 copy numbers, the quantitative ability with respect to HPV 16 is reduced. Based on the combinations of HPV types tested, that mimic the most common types of mixed infections, the assay is able to correctly quantify the amount of a HPV type as long as it represents at least 1-10% of the copy number of the major HPV type.

Reproducibility

The reproducibility of the test was studied by repeated measurements of the HPV copy numbers and human DNA in a series of clinical samples.

Intra-laboratory reproducibility

A set of clinical samples were analyzed by three different technicians (operators) in the same laboratory and using the same reagent lot. The sample DNA was extracted according to protocol D (see materials and methods). The correspondence between operators with respect to HPV positivity (+/-) is 98.6% (139/141) and the mean standard deviation in the C_t values between operators is 0.62 units (Table 6). The correspondence in C_t between repeated analyses of a set of clinical samples is shown graphically in Fig. 6. In this experiment a set of cervical swab samples were analyzed using Reaction 1 and 3. A statistically significant linear

regression is seen between the results of the two independent experiments ($r^2=0.99$, $P>0.0001$) (Fig. 6).

Lot-to-lot reproducibility

A set of clinical samples was analyzed by a single technician using three different reagents lots. The sample DNA was extracted according to protocol D (see materials and methods). The correspondence between different lots with respect to HPV positivity is 100% (141/141) and the mean standard deviation in the C_t values between the different reagent lots is 0.75 C_t units (Table 7).

Reproducibility over time

The set of clinical samples was analyzed by the same technician once every week during a period of four weeks, using the same lot of reagents. The sample DNA was extracted according to protocol D (see materials and methods). The correspondence between the different time-periods with respect to HPV positivity is 98.9% (186/188) and the mean standard deviation in the C_t values between the different time points is 0.19 C_t units (Table 8).

General variation in measurements of the test

The variance in the C_t estimate for amount of HPV or human DNA was calculated using the GLM Procedure in SAS® System. Intra-laboratory variance (Var(error)) amounted to 1.57 C_t units, lot-to-lot variance to 1.45 C_t units, and the variance over-time to 0.76 C_t units. The overall average standard deviation of measurements was 1.1 C_t units. As the measurement range of the system is roughly 20 C_t units (from C_t 15-35), the average variation of the system (1.1 C_t) represents about 5% of the range.

Stability

The stability of the assay reagents was examined by studying the amount of HPV and human DNA in a series of clinical samples. The sample DNA was extracted according to protocol D (see materials and methods). The same lot of reagents was employed and the three different storage temperatures tested were -20°C, +4°C (fridge), and +30 °C (accelerated room

temperature stability test). For the -20°C test, 33 IIPV positive samples and 14 samples with human DNA were tested once every week (a test period of 28 days), using the same batch of reagents. No significant change was seen in performance of any of the assays tested over the test period (Fig 7a). The average SD between time points were for HPV types SD = $0.81 C_t$ (IIPV 16, SD = 0.60; HPV 31, SD = 0.71; HPV 18/45, SD = 0.58; the HPV 33 group, SD = 1.1; IIPV 39, SD = 0.91) and for the human DNA SD = $0.86 C_t$. For the $+4^{\circ}\text{C}$ test, five IIPV positive samples and three samples with human DNA were tested seven times over a period of 30 days (day 3, 6, 10, 13, 17, 20, 30), using the same batch of reagents. No significant change was seen in performance of the assay in quantifying the HPV types (IIPV 16 and 52) or the human DNA over the 30-day test period (Fig 7b). The average SD between time points were for the HPV types SD = $0.79 C_t$ (HPV 16, SD = 0.88; HPV 52, SD = 0.69) and for the human DNA SD = $1.4 C_t$. For the $+30^{\circ}\text{C}$ test, six HPV positive samples and three samples with human DNA were tested six times over a period of 13 days (day 1, 2, 13, 16, 10, 13), using the same batch of reagents. There is no significant change in performance for the IIPV types (HPV 16 and 52) and the human DNA over a period of six days (the initial four samplings) (Fig 7c). The average SD between the first 4 time points were for the HPV types SD = $0.71 C_t$ (HPV 16, SD = 0.84; HPV 52, SD = 0.83) and for the human DNA SD = 0.77. After six days the reagents fail to function simultaneously for the HPV typing assay and for the human DNA assay.

In summary, the tests at -20°C and $+4^{\circ}\text{C}$ show that the variation over the period studied, when performed by the same technician and using reagents from the same lot, is about 1 C_t unit. This is similar to the degree of variation seen in lot-lot comparisons or between operators. Thus, storage at these temperatures over the time periods indicated, does not have any measurable effect on the test reagents. At $+30^{\circ}\text{C}$ the reagents failed to produce test results after 6 days. Reagents for both HPV and human DNA failed at the same time point.

Sample preparation

In order to study the performance of the assays on samples of different purity, five different extraction protocols were compared using two different experimental designs. In the first experiment a set of fresh frozen cervical swab samples were each divided in four equal aliquots and subjected to the following extraction protocols; A. Freeze/boiling, B. Wizard kit,

C. Digestion, D. Wizard digestion followed by organic extraction, as detailed in the materials and methods. The results of the Taqman assay, using the three first protocols were compared to that of the fourth protocol (used as gold standard). In studying the data for the HPV assay a linear regression analysis based the samples that scored HPV positive using protocol D, shows that protocol B yields the highest correlation to the C_t obtained by D ($r^2 = 0.866$, $p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 8a). Protocols A ($r^2 = 0.974$, $p < 0.0001$) and C ($r^2 = 0.627$, $p < 0.002$) gives lower regression coefficient with the data from that of D (Fig. 8b,c). In comparing the data for the human gene, the differences between the extraction protocols are more pronounced. Again, the results of protocol B show the highest correlation to method D ($r^2 = 0.771$, $p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 8e). Both protocols A ($r^2 = 0.649$, $p < 0.068$, non-significant) and C ($r^2 = 0.204$, $p < 0.127$, non-significant) yield data that does not show a significant correlation with that of D (Fig. 8d,f). Notably, protocols A and C result in a number of samples that score negative for human DNA, preventing a normalization of the amount of HPV. Thus, the results indicate that relative to the organic extraction protocol, which is usually considered unsuited for clinical use, the Wizard kit or the quick protocol with a proteinase K digestion are to be preferred over the very simple freezing/boiling procedure.

Given the results of our comparison between extraction protocols A-D and the widespread use of the freezing/boiling method we performed a second experiment using a set of cervical swab samples collected during routine gynecological health controls, and applied yet another commercial sample preparation method that is frequently being used in diagnostic virology laboratories; the Nuclisens kit. The samples were first extracted using the freeze/boiling method (protocol A) and a Taqman assay performed (Table 8). A number of the samples failed to show the presence of human DNA, although HPV typing proved successful. After application of the Nuclisens kit, the Taqman assay was again performed on these samples and a much higher frequency of samples showed measurable amounts of human DNA (Table 8). Thus, the application of the Nuclisens protocol presumably resulted in the removal of inhibitory agents and a more reliable Taqman assay. The samples used had been previously typed using a separate PCR based assay for scoring the HPV positivity (using the GP5/6 primers). The result of the Taqman assay with respect to HPV positivity was congruent with the results of the previous method (Table 8).

Analysis of clinical samples

The assay was tested on a set of over 4723 DNA samples extracted from archival cervical smears taken at routine health controls to examine the performance of the assay (Josefsson et al., 2000). These samples were collected as part of a large case/control study, where cases were diagnosed with cervical cancer *in situ*. Of the 4723 samples, 4268 (90.6%) gave a signal for the human single copy locus. Among the samples positive for the human gene, the most prevalent HPV type is, as expected HPV 16, with a frequency of almost 22% (Fig. 9). The other HPV types are each found in less than 10% of the samples. Among this set of samples, 185 were infected with at least two HPV types (16/31, $n=39$; 16/18-45, $n=75$; 16/33 group, $n=40$; 18-45/31, $n=23$; 16/39, $n=6$; 16/35, $n=2$) and nine samples were infected with at least three HPV types (16/18-45/31, $n=6$; 16/31/33 group, $n=1$; 16/18-45/33 group, $n=2$). In the mixed infections of HPV 16 and HPV 18/45, the ratio varied from a high of 450 HPV 16 copies per cell in 3 HPV 18 copies per cell to, in the reverse case, 13 HPV 16 copies per cell in 2083 HPV 18 copies per cell. In the mixed infections with HPV 16 and 31, the ratio varied from 1964 HPV 16 copies per cell in 55 HPV 31 copies per cell to, in the reverse case, 9 HPV 16 copies per cell in 697 HPV 31 copies per cell. These results demonstrate the ability of the assay in analyzing mixed infections in clinical samples.

DISCUSSION

The present inventors have developed a quantitative assay for a range of HPV types, suitable for clinical use. A number of methods are available for the detection of HPV in clinical samples. Dichotomous HPV typing (detection of presence or absence of the virus) has limited clinical utility due to the high prevalence of the virus and the fact that most infections clear without an intervention. In the light of the observation that high HPV 16 DNA titer is associated with a significant risk of developing cervical cancer *in situ* (Josefsson et al., 2000; Ylitalo et al., 2000), analyses of viral titer may have a diagnostic use. Suitable methods for such a titer test must have a wide dynamic range, must be easy to use and permit a range of the highly divergent HPV types associated with the development of cervical dysplasia to be assayed. The present inventors have focused on the real-time PCR since this assay has a number of advantages over other PCR-based methods: (i) requires no further laboratory steps after amplification since data collection occurs during amplification, (ii) allows for use of multiple detection probes in the same reaction, and (iii) has a wide dynamic range (iv) is a

homogeneous assay with a close tube system that limits the potential for contamination of PCR products. The present inventors have extended the usefulness of this method by quantifying three different fluorophores in each reaction tube, limiting the number of parallel reaction that have to be performed. Several other systems for quantification of HPV are based on the use of an internal control (i.e. co-amplification of a human gene) and a comparison between the amount of HPV PCR product and human gene PCR product, using an end point measure of the PCR. The present inventors choose to design our system with an external control, to avoid any competition between the amplicons of the HPV and the human gene since such competition may lead to an erroneous estimate of the copy number per cell. Competition between an internal control and a HPV PCR product may result in an underestimate of the HPV copy number for samples with low viral load and an overestimate of HPV copy number in samples with high viral load. A system with an internal control may therefore tend to reduce the range in HPV copy number among samples. The HPV copy number per cell in our samples span over a very wide range. Using a system with an internal control over such a wide range of copy number would most likely limit the resolution of the data substantially.

A separate assay was developed for a single copy nuclear gene, rather than using commercially available assays. Using our human single copy gene assay, the HPV copy numbers can be normalized for the amount of genomic DNA (equivalent to the number of cells included). Given the variation both in the amount of HPV copies between samples and the amount of genomic DNA between samples, such normalization by the number of cells appears necessary to obtain comparable and meaningful HPV titer estimates. Such a measure does not indicate the number of infected cells, or the relative distribution of HPV genomes among cells. However, since the mean number of HPV copies per cell is associated with an increased risk of cancer development, such a measure represents a useful diagnostic indicator independent of the intra- and intercellular distribution of HPV molecules (Josefsson et al., 2000; Ylitalo et al., 2000). An important aspect of any diagnostic technique is the ability to identify false negative samples, resulting either from insufficient amount of starting DNA, or the presence of inhibitors to the PCR. The lack of a signal for the human gene assay either indicates the presence of inhibitors or insufficient amount of DNA in the assay. Indeed, in the comparison of sample extraction protocols we noted that in using one of the faster protocols a

number of samples failed to give a signal for the human single copy gene. Most of these samples gave a positive result with the nuclear gene assay when the samples had been further purified.

The assay described is based on standard curves generated from plasmid dilution series. Application of such curves to clinical samples relies on that inhibitors are not present in the sample. Severe inhibition can usually be detected through the nuclear gene assay and the addition of agents such as BSA in the reaction mix reduces the effect of most inhibitors. Nevertheless, some sample types may require new standard curves to be generated. For instance, in analyzing formalin-fixed paraffin embedded samples it is necessary to quantify the extent of inhibition using, for instance, the human control assay, before HPV titers can be accurately estimated. A similar problem may occur when analyzing archival Papanicolaou stained smears (Josefsson et al., 1999). At high DNA concentrations, Papanicolaou stained smears show inhibition of the PCR (Josefsson et al., 1999). For quantification of viral copy numbers from tissue samples where BSA does not remove the inhibitory effect, the standard curves used have to be derived from DNA samples handled in an identical way to that of the biological samples.

An important aspect of the assay is the ability to quantify individual HPV types in mixed infections. There are indications that the copy number in infected cervical cells differs not only between different stages of dysplasia (Josefsson et al., 2000; Ylitalo et al., 2000; Swan et al., 1999) but also between HPV types (Swan et al., 1999). Therefore, a diagnostic assay must have the ability to identify and quantitate individual HPV types in a mixed infection. For most combinations of HPV types in our synthetic mixes, the assay showed an ability to detect and quantify an HPV type, as long as it represents at least 1-10% of the amount of the major HPV type. When the ratio between HPV types is less than 1/100, the reduced sensitivity is likely to be due to a competition between the PCR products of different HPV types. For clinical samples infected with several HPV types the ratio vary widely, emphasizing the need for an assay that can provide reliable quantitation over a wide range of ratios.

In summary, the method and kit of the invention as exemplified by the fluorescent 5' exonuclease assay described has a number of characteristics that make it suitable for

01-OCT-02 TUE 10:5

DR LUDWIG BRANN PAT (A)
018 568939

FAX 018 568939

P.

10.10.02

2 -

10.10.02

20

quantification of H1PV viral titers. Other assays exist that have a wide coverage of viral types, but require complex post-PCR analysis, making them unable to compete with the rapidity, convenience and flexibility of the PCR-based fluorescent 5' exonuclease assay.

10.10.02

REFERENCES

- Josefsson, A. J., P. K. E. Magnusson, N. Ylitalo, P. Sörensen, P. Qwarforth-Tubbin, P. K. Andersen, M. Melbye, H. O. Adami and U. B. Gyllensten 2000. HPV viral load as a determinant for development of cancer in situ. *Lancet* 355:2189-93.
- Josefsson, A., K. Livak, and U. Gyllensten. 1999. Detection and real time quantitation of human papillomavirus (HPV) using the fluorescent 5'- exonuclease assay (TAQMAN). *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 37, 490-496.
- Swan D. C., R. A. Tucker, G. Tortolero-Luna, M. F. Mitchell, L. Wideroff, E. R. Unger, R. A. Nisenbaum, W.C. Reeves, J. P. Icenogle 1999. Human papillomavirus (HPV) DNA copy number is dependent on grade of cervical disease and HPV type. *J Clin Microbiol* 37 :1030-4.
- Ylitalo, N., A. Josefsson, P. Sörensen, P. Magnusson, P.K. Andersen, J. Pontén, H.O. Adami, U. Gyllensten, and M. Melbye. 2000. Consistent high viral load of human papillomavirus type 16 and risk for cervical carcinoma in situ. *Lancet* 355:2194-8.
- Ylitalo, N., T. Bergstrom, and U. Gyllensten. 1995. Detection of genital human papillomavirus by single-tube nested PCR and type-specific oligonucleotide hybridization. *J Clin Microbiol*. 33(7):1822-8.

Table 1. The PCR primers used in Reaction 1, Reaction 2 and Reaction 3. In denoting the probe, F refers to forward and R to reverse primers. The primers are located in the following reading frames E7, E6, E1, E4 and L1 as given in the primer name and the text. The HUMPBGDA primers span an exon-intron border (see text).

Type and name	Direction	Specific to	Sequence (5' to 3')
F16E7	5' to 3'	HPV 16	AGC TCA GAG GAG GAG GAT GAA
R16E7	5' to 3'	HPV 16	GGT TAC AAT ATT GTA ATG GGC TC
F18E1	5' to 3'	HPV18 and -45	CAT TTT GTG AAC AGG CAG AGC
R18E1	5' to 3'	HPV18	ACT TGT GCA TCA TTG TGG ACC
R45E1	5' to 3'	HPV45	CAA CAC CTG TGC ATC ATT CTG A
F31E6	5' to 3'	HPV31	ACG ATT CCA CAA CAT AGG AGG A
R31E6	5' to 3'	HPV31	TAC ACT TGG GTT TCA GTA CGA GGT
F35E4	5' to 3'	HPV35	GCC TGC TCC GTG GGC
R35E4	5' to 3'	HPV35	GCA CTG AGT CGC ACT CGC
F33L1	5' to 3'	HPV33, -52, and -67	CGT CGC AGG CGT AAA CG
F58L1	5' to 3'	HPV58	GCG TCG CAG ACG TAA ACG
R33/ctcL1	5' to 3'	HPV33, -52, -58 and -67	ACA GGA GGC AGG TAC AC
F39E7	5' to 3'	HPV39	CGA GCA ATT AGG AGA GTC AGA GG
R39E7	5' to 3'	HPV39	TGT GTG ACG CTG TGG TTC AT
HUMPBGDA F	5' to 3'	The HUMPB locus	GCC TGC AGT TTG AAA TCA GTG
HUMPBGDA R	5' to 3'	The HUMPB locus	CGG GAC GGG CTT TAG CTA

Table 2. The Taqman hybridisation probes used in Reaction 1 (Pb 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3), Reaction 2 (Pb 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3) and Reaction 3 (Pb 3).

Type and name	Specific to	5' fluorophore	Sequence (5' to 3')	3' fluorophore
Pb1.1	HPV16	FAM	CCA GCT GGA CAA GCA GAA CCG G	TAMRA
Pb1.3	HPV18 & -45	VIC	AGA GAC AGC ACA GGC ATT GTT CCA TG	TAMRA
Pb1.2	HPV31	TET	CTC CAA CAT GCT ATG CAA CGT CC	TAMRA
Pb2.1	HPV33, -52, -58 and -67	FAM	AGAT GTC CGT GTG GCG GCC TAG	TAMRA
Pb2.2	HPV35	VIC	CAG AAG ACA AAT CAC AAA CGA CTT CGA GGG	TAMRA
Pb2.3	HPV39	TET	AAC CCG ACC ATG CAG TTA ATC ACC AAC	TAMRA
Pb3	HUMPB (human gene)	VIC	TGG AAG CTA ATG GGA AGC CCA GTA CC	TAMRA

Table 3. a) The variation in Ct for different copy number of HPV types in Reaction 1. Values are based on 12 independent measurements at each copy number.

	No. of HPV copies	1.0E+06	1.0E+05	1.0E+04	1.0E+03	1.0E+02
	HPV copies/ μ l	4.0E+04	4.0E+03	4.0E+02	4.0E+01	4.0E+00
HPV16	Ct	17.75	21.04	24.65	27.85	31.35
	SD	0.41	0.50	0.52	0.81	0.95
HPV31	Ct	18.78	22.87	26.55	29.18	33.73
	SD	0.77	1.64	0.60	0.84	0.71
HPV18	Ct	19.04	21.43	26.02	28.82	31.95
	SD	1.88	1.56	1.59	1.88	1.70
HPV45	Ct	19.11	22.15	25.96	29.23	33.02
	SD	0.64	0.75	0.84	0.67	0.57

Table 3. b) The variation in Ct for different copy number of HPV types in Reaction 2. Values are based on 12 independent measurements at each copy number. * n= 11

	No. of HPV copies	1.0E+06	1.0E+05	1.0E+04	1.0E+03	1.0E+02
	HPV copies/ μ l	4.0E+04	4.0E+03	4.0E+02	4.0E+01	4.0E+00
HPV33	Ct	19.47	22.30	25.78	29.02	-
	SD	0.54	0.55	0.87	0.64	-
HPV35	Ct	20.88	24.67	27.69	30.70	-
	SD	0.79	0.94	1.04	1.00	-
HPV39	Ct	20.07	23.94	26.87*	30.55	-
	SD	1.27	0.77	1.38	1.02	-
HPV52	Ct	20.48	24.10	27.90	30.84	-
	SD	0.58	0.60	0.88	0.53	-
HPV58	Ct	20.85	24.12	27.58	30.44	-
	SD	0.62	0.82	0.94	0.59	-
HPV67	Ct	18.89	22.22	25.55	27.93	30.83
	SD	0.67	0.57	0.86	1.07	0.42

Table 4. The variation in Ct values for different amounts of genomic DNA. The values are based on 12 measurements at each copy number.

	DNA-amount (ng)	100.00	33.30	10.00	3.33	1.00	0.33	0.10
	ng/ μ l	4.00	1.33	0.40	0.13	0.04	0.01	0.00
Human DNA	Ct	21.33	23.01	24.88	26.46	28.21	30.38	31.44
	SD	0.95	0.80	0.99	0.80	1.01	0.67	0.76

Table 5. Results of intra-laboratory reproducibility test.

	Technician 1	Technician 2	Technician 3
HPV16	5/5	5/5	5/5
HPV31	7/7	7/7	7/7
HPV18/45	7/7	7/7	7/7
HPV33group	7/7	7/7	7/7
HPV39	6/7	6/7	7/7
Human DNA	14/14	14/14	14/14

Table 6. Results of the lot-to-lot laboratory reproducibility test.

	Lot 1	Lot 2	Lot 3
HPV16	5/5	5/5	5/5
HPV31	7/7	7/7	7/7
HPV18/45	7/7	7/7	7/7
HPV33group	7/7	7/7	7/7
HPV39	7/7	7/7	7/7
Human DNA	14/14	14/14	14/14

Table 7. Results of the over-time reproducibility test.

	Week			
	1	2	3	4
HPV16	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
HPV31	7/7	7/7	7/7	7/7
HPV18/45	7/7	7/7	7/7	7/7
HPV33-group	6/7	7/7	7/7	7/7
HPV39	7/7	7/7	7/7	6/7
Human DNA	14/14	14/14	14/14	14/14

Table 8. Comparison of the results using extraction method C and the Nuclisens kit. HPV titers is not normalized with respect to sample amount. * = IIPV types not detected with our assay.

Sample no	Previous IIPV type	Protocol C (Freezing/Boiling)				Nuclisens extraction protocol			
		HPV 16	IIPV 18/45	HPV33 Group	Human DNA	IIPV 16	HPV 18/45	IIPV33 group	Human DNA
1	56*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	16,45,58	0	390	2.500	0	270	1.400	11.000	100
3	52	0	0	30	30	0	0	3.000	150
4	16	58	0	0	0	140	0	0	1
5	33	0	0	19	0	0	0	612	12
6	45,51*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
7	52	0	0	372	3.1	0	0	1.365	6.5
8	51*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.6
9	56*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9.1
10	56*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	250
11	45	0	800	0	0	0	16.490	0	97
12	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.430	1.100
13	?	0	0	360	0	0	0	1.836	5.1
14	45	0	22.5	0	3	0	21	0	14
15	51*,56*	0	0	14	0	0	0	2.760	690

CLAIMS

1. A method for quantitative and qualitative determination of human papillomavirus (HPV) in a sample comprising the steps of:
 - i) providing a sample from a patient suspected to be infected by HPV, and optionally extracting the nucleic acid of the sample;
 - ii) dividing the sample or nucleic acid from the sample in two or more sub-samples;
 - iii) measuring, simultaneously, the presence and amount of two or more viruses in one of said sub-samples by using a specific primer for amplification of each virus or group of viruses, whereby the primers are designed not to compete during the amplification-reaction, and a specific probe for each virus or group of viruses, whereby the probes are designed not to compete during the amplification-reaction and the detection phase;
 - iv) determining the amount of said sample by analysis of a nuclear gene in a given amount of another of said sub-samples in a separate amplification reaction; and
 - v) calculating the amount of each virus or group of viruses per amount of sample from the results of steps iii) and iv).
2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the amplifications in steps iii) and iv) are PCR amplification.
3. A method according to claims 1 or 2, which is a PCR-based fluorescent 5' exonuclease assay.
4. A method according to claims 1, 2 or 3, wherein the viruses in step iii) are chosen from HPV 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 52, 58 and -67.
5. A method according to any of the above claims, wherein HPV 16, 31, 18, 45 is detected and quantified in one sub-sample and optionally HPV 33, 35, 39, 52, 58 and 67 is detected and quantified in another sub-sample.
6. A method according to any of the above claims, wherein the amount of a human single copy gene is detected and quantified in step iv).

7. A method according to claim 6, wherein the gene is HUMPBGDA, Homo sapiens hydroxymethylbilane synthase gene, accnr M95623.1.
8. A method according to any one of the above claims, which is for detection and diagnose of cervical cancer.
9. A kit for detection and quantification of human papillomavirus, comprising
 - a) seven amplification primers and three probes for HPV 16, 31, 18, 45 according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification; and optionally
 - b) seven amplification primers and three probes for HPV 33, 35, 39, 52, 58 and -67, according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification.
10. A kit according to claim 9, further comprising
 - c) two amplification primers and one probe, according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification, for detection and quantification of the amount of a human single copy gene.
11. A kit according to claim 10, wherein the gene is HUMPBGDA, Homo sapiens hydroxymethylbilane synthase gene, accnr M95623.1.
12. A kit according to any of the claims 9-11, further comprising
 - d) at least two different fluorophores,
13. A kit according to any of the claims 9-12, comprising
 - a) seven amplification primers and three probes for HPV 16, 31, 18, 45 according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification;
 - b) seven amplification primers and three probes for HPV 33, 35, 39, 52, 58 and -67, according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification;
 - c) two amplification primers and one probe, according to Table 1 and 2 of the specification, for detection and quantification of the amount of a human single copy gene; and
 - d) three different fluorophores.

01-OCT-02 TUE 10

DR LUDWIG BRANN PAT (B)
018 568939

FAX NO. 018 568939

30

14. A kit according to any of the claims 9-13 for detection and diagnose of cervical cancer.

018 568939

01-OCT-02 TUE 1

DR LUDWIG BRANN PAT #13
018 568939

FILE NO. 018 568939

181

2602

They:

31

ABSTRACT


The present invention relates to a method and kit for quantitative and qualitative determination of human papillomavirus, HPV, in a sample. More precisely, for quantitative and qualitative determination of oncogenic HPV to predict the risk of HPV infection resulting in cervical carcinoma. The method and kit enable simultaneous measurement of several oncogenic HPV types.

01-OCT-02 TUE 11:


DR LUDWIG BRANN PAT #B
018 568939

FAX NO. 018 568939

1/11



a



b

Fig. 1.

2/11





Fig. 2.

3/11





Fig. 3.

01-OCT-02 TUE 11

DR. LUDWIG BRANN PAT #B
018 568939

FAX NO. 018 568939

Ink t P:

Z:

Rev:

4/11







Fig. 4.

5/11



01-OCT-02 TUE 11

DR LUDWIG BRANN PAT (A)
018 568939

FAN NO. 018 568939

Int. L.

2002

Page 1

6/11




Fig. 6.

01-OCT-02 TUE 11

DR LUDWIG BRANN PAT (A)
018 568939

FAX NO. 018 568939

Int. R.

Zurich

Nov.

7/11






Fig. 7a.

8/11



b



c

Fig. 7b, c

9/11








Fig. 8.


10/11



a



b



c

Fig. 8.

01-OCT-02 TUE 11

DR LUDWIG BRANN PAT (B)
018 568939

FAR NO. 018 568939

11/11

Ext. 1111

11/11

11/11




Fig. 9.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☒ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☒ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☒ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☐ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☒ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.